

BOOK OF PROCEEDINGS

**10th International Soil Science Congress on "Environment
and Soil Resources Conservation"**

**Soil Science Society of Kazakhstan Cooperation with the
Federation of Eurasian Soil Science Societies**

17–19 October, 2018. Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN

UDC 631.4

LBC 40.3

E 54

The Proceedings of the 10th International Soil Science Congress on “Environment and Soil Resources Conservation”

Editors:

Abdulla SAPAROV, U.U. Usanov Kazakh Research Institute of Soil Science and Agrochemistry, Kazakhstan

Ridvan KIZILKAYA, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey

Mustafa MUSTAFAYEV, Institute of Soil Science Agrochemistry NANA, Azerbaijan

E54 Environment and Soil Resources Conservation: book of proceedings 10th International Soil Science Congress. Almaty, 2018. – 243 p.

ISBN 978-601-7567-21-7

Annotation. The materials of the 10th International Congress are related to the theme of environmental protection and soil resources. The Congress will provide an opportunity to exchange views on a wide range of issues of modern soil science and prospects of its development. Leading scientists of the world will share innovations that have positive impact on ecology of soil surface. The proceedings present the material of current progress in soil science, the development of a new methodology based on new concepts and achievements, modern methods of soil analysis that will change the future in soil science, as well as our attitude to the environment and agriculture, will facilitate rapid transfer of knowledge on soil science to the welfare of the society and the environment.

This Book of Proceedings has been prepared from different articles sent to the congress secretary only by making some changes in the format. Scientific committee regret for any language and/or aimscope.

Publication date : 1 October 2018

UDC 631.4

LBC 40.3

Press

Visit the Congress web site at <http://www.soil2018.com/>

Visit the Federation of Eurasian Soil Science Societies web site at <http://www.fesss.org>

Visit the U.U. Usanov Kazakh Research Institute of Soil Science and Agrochemistry of Kazakhstan web site at <http://soil.kz/>

Visit the Soil Science Society of Kazakhstan web site at <http://soil.kz/>

Copyright © 2018 by Soil Science Society of Kazakhstan and Federation of Eurasian Soil Science Societies. All rights reserved

Visions and Innovations for Sustainable Use of Soils in Eurasia

**Frank Eulenstein^{1,5,8}, Lothar Mueller^{1,5,8}, Abdulla Saparov^{2,8}, Mekhlis Suleimenov^{3,8},
Konstantin Pachikin^{2,8*}, Azimbay Otarov^{2,8}, Askhad K. Sheudshen^{4,5,8},
Ludmila Onishenko^{5,8}, Victor G. Sychev^{6,8}, Olga Rukhovich^{6,8}, Vladimir Romanenkov^{6,8},
Sergey Lukin^{7,8}, Alexander S. Chumbaev⁸, Nadezhda M. Tchebakova⁹,
Volker Hennings¹⁰, Uwe Schindler^{1,5,8}, Ralf Dannowski¹, Wilfried Mirschel¹,
Roger Funk¹, Katharina Helming¹, Axel Behrendt^{1,8}**

¹Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Muencheberg, Germany

²Kazakh Research Institute of Soil Science and Agrochemistry named after U. U. Usmanov, Almaty, Kazakhstan

³Scientific Production Center of Grain Farming named after A.I. Barayev, Shortandy, Kazakhstan

⁴Russian Scientific Research Institute of Rice, Bjelosernoye settlement, Krasnodar, Russia

⁵Kuban State Agricultural University, Krasnodar, Russia

⁶Pryanishnikov All-Russian Research Institute of Agrochemistry, Moscow, Russia

⁷Institute for Organic Fertilization and Peat (VNIIOU), Vyatkins, Russia

⁸Mitscherlich Akademie für Bodenfruchtbarkeit, (MITAK), Paulinenaue, Germany

⁹V.N. Sukachev Institute of Forest, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Branch (SIF SB RAS), Krasnoyarsk, Russia

¹⁰Stroussbergstraße 9, 30449 Hannover, Germany

*Corresponding author: kpachikin@yahoo.com

Key words: Land, soil, water, ecosystems, sustainability, research cooperation, monitoring, methods, soil quality, crop yield, Central Asia, Siberia.

1 Introduction

The paper aims to initiate a sustainable use of soils in Eurasia by the application and transfer of novel scientific methods. We share the visions of the originator of the modern soil science VV Dokuchaev and the great innovators of agrochemistry J. v. Liebig, EA Mitscherlich, DN Pryanishnikov, UU Usmanov and others. Their visions were to eliminate hunger and poverty of the population by stable crop yields based on innovative site-adapted soil management and farming.

In the post-war period of the 20th century great progress in science and agricultural practice enabled to make these visions reality in Europe and in the whole Soviet Union. Crop yields increased and hunger was eliminated. However, some progress achieved was on costs of sustainability. Soil degradation and loss of biodiversity became significant. In the 21st century the global struggle for resources, land and water included, and man-made climate change have created new threats for soils, ecosystems and inhabitants of rural areas. Thus, food security is not stable in Eurasia whilst the status of soils and water bodies remains endangered and not adequately monitored.

Science and technology may help to find solutions for sustainable use of soils. The awareness about limited and degrading natural resources have fired the energy and creativity of responsible and innovative people to develop and install monitoring systems and countermeasures. However, the access to modern monitoring systems and agri-environmental technologies is different over regions of Eurasia. Some regions of Central Asia and Asian Russia require modern monitoring systems for their land and water resources in order to avoid their accelerating degradation and maintain their productivity function and ecosystem services for the population.

Tailored solutions have to be based on detailed analyses and data. We start with an extended analysis of water and land resources, characterising the natural conditions of North and Cen-

tral Asian landscapes, their ecosystems, crucial processes, and human impacts on soil and water quality. The status of research and monitoring is also characterised, pointing both on substantial progress achieved during the past decades, but also on gaps in our knowledge.

Following our analysis, rural landscapes in North and Central Asia have great potential for economically and ecologically viable business activities, but are currently characterized by inefficient and unsustainable land and water management practices, industrial pollution and the decay of the rural infrastructure. The land and water resources of Central Asia are in a particular critical state. Some keywords are: grassland degradation, humus loss and wind erosion on cropping land, salinisation of irrigated land, low agricultural productivity and water use efficiency, water scarcity, water pollution. Sustainable practices should be introduced soon, and this must be based on modern monitoring and management technologies.

To promote this, we offer an array of methods of measuring, assessing, forecasting, utilizing and controlling processes in agricultural landscapes. These are laboratory and field measurement methods, methods of resource evaluation, functional mapping and risk assessment, and remote sensing methods for monitoring and modelling large areas. Novel methods of data analysis and ecosystem modelling, of bioremediation of soil and water, field monitoring of soils, and methods and technologies for optimizing land use systems have been developed as well. We depict some highlights which could (a) lead to a significant knowledge shift, (b) initiate sustainable soil resource use and (c) trigger substantial improvement of the ecosystem status, provided they are introduced into landscapes of North and Central Asia or applied there very soon on a wide scale. These are (1) soil and hydrological laboratory measurement methods, (2) process-based field measurement and evaluation methods of land and water quality, (3) remote sensing and GIS technology-based landscape monitoring methods, (4) process and ecosystem modelling approaches, (5) methods of resource and process evaluation and functional soil mapping, and (6) tools for controlling agricultural land use systems such as nutrient balancing methods, conservation agriculture and their technologies. More than 15 concrete monitoring and management tools could immediately be introduced into research and practice, some of them without monetary investment.

Agri-environmental research projects should have high priority as gaps in our knowledge are particular high, and a particularly large amount of novel measurement, evaluation, modelling and management tools are available. Various tools are ready for immediate introduction into North and Central Asian landscapes in the framework of mutual pilot projects: state-of-the-science field monitoring technologies for soil and forest hydrology (EEM-HYPPOP, virtual and real lysimeters) and groundwater quality (direct push sampling, multi-level wells), agro-ecological models and decision support systems (MONICA, LandCare-DSS), soil and land quality classification and evaluation tools (WRB 2014, Muencheberg Soil Quality Rating), nutrient balancing tools, irrigation control systems, grassland evaluation methods, wind erosion monitoring systems and technologies of conservation agriculture.

We conclude that strengthening international and national research cooperation in these fields will be key for making novel methods operational. The role of internationally linked monitoring capacities is particularly emphasised, with some existing stations established in the vast agri-environmental monitoring network and others to be newly built in remote regions of Asia, and supported by the latest remote sensing technologies.

More information about methodologies and innovations is given in two monographies. The books „Novel Measurement and Assessment Tools for Monitoring and Management of Land and Water Resources in Agricultural Landscapes of Central Asia“ (Новые Измерительные и Оценочные Методы для Мониторинга и Управления Земельными и Водными Ресурсами Агрландшафтов Центральной Азии) (Springer 2014), and „Novel Methods for Monitoring and Managing of Land and Water Resources in Siberia“ (Новые Методы для Мониторинга и Управления Земельных и Водных Ресурсов в Сибири) (Springer 2015)

provide details. The book contributors represent an immense innovation network which should be employed to achieve both significant disciplinary and synergetic outreach effects. This should be imbedded into more sustainable strategies aiming at research cooperation between partners from EU countries, the Russian Federation and countries of Central Asia. Pilot projects, permanent think tanks, Scientific-Technical Education Centres, and Schools of Environment and Natural Resources are possible promoters who can carry novel methods into the heads and hearts of people in Eurasia. Significant progress towards food security over Eurasia and maintaining the functions of great landscapes for future human generations will be the reward of those efforts.

2 Status of agricultural land

2.2 Industrial pollution of soil

Industrial pollution of soil is a serious problem worldwide and also in Siberia. The air quality in Siberian cities and their industrial areas is very bad (Adam and Mamin 2001, Kashapov et al 2008). Urban air quality in several Siberian cities (e.g. Norilsk, Barnaul, and Novokuznetsk) is considered among the worst in Russian (Baklanov et al 2013). Siberian ecosystems have begun to show stress from the accumulation of pollution depositions that come from cities and industrial plants (Gutman et al 2013). Pollutants are being dispersed over soils of the region. Mining, transport and storage of industrial minerals or products has also led to point source pollution. Many areas around major metallurgical, chemical, and energy enterprises have been found to be polluted by toxic substances such as heavy metals, oil and oil products, sulphur oxides and chemical wastes. About 3.6 Million ha of agricultural land in Russia are contaminated with radionuclides and heavy metals (FAO 2013a). Under permafrost conditions industrial pollution is a particular threat because the damage to vegetation initiates thermokarst processes (Chuprova 2006, Baranov et al 2010).

2.3 Soil quality for agriculture

For environmental monitoring, both soil and water and quality can be measured using sets of chemical, biological and physical data. In the case of soils, there is a lack of conventions and international standards on the parameters required for this kind of monitoring. Some approaches do not meet a basic requirement of Dokuchaev (1951) that soil quality assessment on agricultural land should reflect crop yield potentials. It is useful to measure and evaluate soil quality in terms of its functions for society. For example, the specific role of soil and land in producing plant biomass for humans (productivity function, Mueller et al., 2011) remains crucial. Consequently, higher soil quality means the land has a higher crop yield potential. Land rating approaches of the former Soviet Union (Gavrilyuk 1974, Vostokova and Yakushevskaya 1979) meet these requirements in general. However, they base on yield data which are 60 and more years old and do not consider soil functional properties and climate conditions. More recent approaches (Krupkin and Toptygin 1999) based on important functional properties of soils like humus content or nutrient stocks have more regional meaning and cannot be transferred to other parts of Russia.

The overall situation of soil quality in Asian Russia and future trends in the context of the Eurasian and global situation does not seem to be clear yet but could be found out by using the Muencheberg Soil Quality Rating (M-SQR, Mueller et al., 2010) to create a strategy of assessing food security for Eurasia or the world. Work of Smolentseva et al (2014) showed that loess born soils of South Siberia have excellent basic soil properties in terms of texture, structure, rooting potential and water and nutrient storage capacity. However, climatic conditions like too late warming and drought are serious yield-declining factors. This leads to low overall rating values on a global scale (Smolentseva et al., 2014). Some examples are given in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Examples of agricultural soils in Siberia and their quality for cereal cropping: a) Ordinary Chernozem of the research station Krasnoobsk, Forest Steppe, Rating points of M-SQR (Mueller et al 2011) 98 (Upgraded Basic Rating) /42 (Final Rating, including climate factors) b) Southern Chernozem of the Grushevka field near Bagan, Kulunda Steppe, degraded by wind erosion, Rating points 78/20, c) Solonetz near Bagan, Kulunda Steppe, Rating points 55/14, d) Luvisol of the Kochky site, Baraba Forest Steppe, Rating points 74/24. Classes of rating points are 0-20 very poor, 20-40 poor, 40-60 moderate, 60-80 good, 80-100 very good

2.4 Land degradation by agriculture

Degradation of agricultural land by wasteful and unsustainable management is one of the most important socioeconomic problems worldwide. This is also true for lands of Russia including Siberia. It poses a threat to ecological, economic and national security of the country. Data are inconsistent and differ depending on their assessment methodology but demonstrate huge dimensions of the problem.

The worst damage to Russian soils is caused by water and wind erosion (50 million ha), waterlogging (40 million ha), droughts (up to 170 million ha), land salinization and alkalisation (40 million ha) (FAO 2014a).

Cropping agriculture if associated with soil tillage is risky for soil fertility and associated with permanent loss of soil humus and fertility. Schepaschenko et al (2012) calculated that arable land of Russia was a carbon source (carbon loss 0.8 t/ha and year), whilst pasture and hayfields were a sink of 0.29 t/ha and year. Zhulanova (2013) found negative carbon balances of the Dry Steppe (0.76 t/ha and year) and Steppe (0.19 t/ha and year), whilst carbon of the Forest Steppe was about balanced under agriculture in south Siberia. Table 1 shows the percentage of degraded agricultural land in Siberia.

Table 1. Degraded agricultural soils in Siberia (data of Gordeeva and Romanenko 2008)

	Agricultural land (Thous. ha)	Degraded land in %			
		Wetness	Water erosion	Wind erosion	Salinization and alkalisation
West Siberia	34,434	20.3	6.6	12.9	35.1
East Siberia	23,196	7.8	9.8	14.3	3.8
Far East	7,932	36.5	7.0	0.8	4.3

Wetness of agricultural land is a permanent yield-declining issue in West Siberia and the Far East. It is often combined with salinization and wind erosion in Steppe regions. Degradation means an irreversible loss of soil productivity potential. Drought as a most severe productivity –limiting factor leading to desertification in current Dry Steppe and Steppe regions is not listed here. Also data about other soil degrading factors like permanent humus loss and soil compaction are not included in Table.

When tilled, soil loses its protective vegetation and becomes prone to wind erosion. Just in Steppe regions of Asia wind erosion may be reach global dimensions in future due to mismanagement of soils by ploughing (Suleimenov et al 2014). Halting anthropogenically induced land degradation by introducing more sustainable land management is a challenge for Asian Russia. This has also implications for the sector of agri-environmental research.

2.5 Status of grasslands

Grasslands and rangelands are an underestimated resource for biochemical cycles and for human welfare. There is a lack of reliable data on the status of pasture or rangeland degradation in Siberia. The situation is similarly unclear like in landscapes of Kazakhstan and other countries of Central Asia (Mueller et al 2014). Long- term succession studies in grasslands done by the Sochava Institute of Geography (Nechaeva et al (2010) along with chemical soil analyses are important for understanding local grassland ecosystems. However those studies lack linkages with modern diagnostic and monitoring methods. A loss of plant and wild animal diversity, an increase in unpalatable or toxic plants, a loss of soil fertility and productivity and a decline in livestock production are examples of possible indicators. Rangeland recovery may comprise palatable biomass, biodiversity and rare species.

Biodiversity of grasslands is influenced or threatened by several disturbances such as habitat loss, fragmentation of natural communities, over-exploitation such as overgrazing, penetration of non-native species, environmental pollution, climate change, and other elements. Local overgrazing or periodic ploughing of grasslands are crucially negative impact factors. Both overgrazing and underutilization decrease the natural potential of Steppe soils (Kandalova and Lysanova, 2010). Desertification tendencies of Siberian grasslands have been already detected (Meyer et al 2008).

3 Peatlands and their significance for landscape functioning

Almost 370 Million ha of peatlands are located in the Russian Federation, the majority of them in the Taiga zone of the Asian part (Table 2). They are of crucial importance for biodiversity, carbon storage, hydrology and other environmental functions (Liss et al 2001, Kremenetski et al 2003).

Table 2. Peatland areas in the Russian Federation (Climate Committee 2002)

Territory	Area Million ha
Russia as a whole	369.1
From that: In the European part	58.8
In the Asian part	310.3
In different zones;	
Tundra and Forest Tundra	106.2
Taiga and other zones	262.9
In the permafrost zone	270.6
In the West Siberian lowland	99.1

The West Siberian lowlands are the world's largest high-latitude wetland region including vast and deep peatlands. Sheng et al 2004 estimated the total area of peatlands in West Siberia at 59.2 Million ha and the total carbon pool at 70.21 Pg (Petagrams= Billion tonnes). The Vasyugan Mire is the largest of them covering an area of 5.3 Milion hectares (Inisheva et al 2011). Humid Taiga and Tundra of Siberia are regions of permanent peat accumulation and thus a permanent natural carbon sink. From recent models based on numerous measurements, sphagnum mosses grow up about by 12 mm/yr. in the northern Taiga, 16 mm/yr. in the middle Taiga and 12 mm/yr. in the southern Taiga. The annual carbon accumulation ranged from 90-160 g/m² (Dyukarev et al 2011). Inisheva und Berezina (2013) report on an increasing peat layer by about 0.4-0.7 mm/year and quote an enlargement of the peat area of 92 km²/year based on data of Neistadt (1971). Also in the warmer Sub- Taiga of south Siberia, Larin and Guselnikov (2011) found an annual increase of wetland areas by 4.6 km² and year.



Figure 1. Peatlands in the Southern Taiga a) Natural bog with anthill of *Formica spec.* These ants are very important for biological preventing of insect calamities. b) Cultivated and abandoned peatland

Net primary production in eutrophic swamps of the Forest Steppe was about 600–700 g/m² and year of dry mass (Kosykh 2009). It was higher (1285 g/m²) in peatlands of the Altai mountains where roots of wetland grasses contributed 80% of the NPP (Kosykh et al 2010). About half of the dry biomass is carbon. Inisheva et al (2011) measured NPP of peat sites 171-296 g C/m². Lapshina and Bleuten (2011) report on NPP of 150-500 g C/m² and year in peatlands of West Siberia. Carbon storage (NPP minus heterotrophic respiration) was 30-70 g C/m² and year, and methane emissions were about 0-5 g C/m² and year.

Threats to peatlands of Siberia differ from those that are known from peatland regions in Western Europe. In Western Europe, peatlands are a carbon source due to drainage and agriculture in a temperate warm and subhumid climate (Drösler et al 2008, Mueller et al 2008). Land drainage which is responsible for carbon loss of west European peatlands, had no significant influence on the carbon balance in West Siberia (Inisheva et al 2011). Loss of carbon due to heterotrophic respiration higher than NPP seems to be not a threat to Siberian peatlands. However, more reliable data are required in spite of climate change. In general, measuring NPP and respiration by different methods, which was done in most cited studies of Siberian peatlands may lead to biased results of carbon balances. Meanwhile, more sophisticated and accurate methods of measuring carbon balances in situ are available (Chojnicki et al 2010, Juszczak et al 2013).

Acknowledgements

More than 120 other scientists from more than 20 countries of the globe are part of our innovation network and have contributed to both books about the status and sustainable use of

land and water resources of Central Asia and Siberia. Their names and affiliations are listed there. They are from leading research institutes, universities, departments of agriculture, co-operative group centres, state authorities, international research and funding bodies, private companies, practical farms and others. Authors of this paper thank them for their innovative contributions.

References

- Adam AM, Mamin RG (2001) Natural resources and ecological safety of West Siberia (Природные ресурсы и экологическая безопасность Западной Сибири), 2nd edn, Publishing house "NIA Priroda" Moscow 2001, 164 p. Online source: http://window.edu.ru/resource/477/70477/files/Mamin_kniga.pdf, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Baklanov AA, Penenko VV, Mahura AG, Vinogradova AA, Elansky NF, Tsvetova EA, Rigina OYu, Maksimenkov LO, Nuterman RB, Pogarskii FA, Zakey A (2013) Chapter 8 Aspects of Atmospheric Pollution in Siberia. In: Groisman PJ, Gutman G (Edts) Regional Environmental Changes in Siberia and Their Global Consequences, Springer Environmental Science and Engineering 2013, ISBN: 978-94-007-4568-1 (Print) 978-94-007-4569-8 (Online) http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-007-4569-8_8, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Baranov AV, Grigoriev VYa, Yakushev NL, Unanyan KL (2010) Degradation and Conservation of Soil in the Areas of Developing of Fields of Hydrocarbons of the Far North (Деградация и Охрана Почв в Районах Освоения Месторождений Углеводородов Крайнего Севера). Institute for Problems of Oil and Gas of the Russian Academy of Sciences 2010. Georesources, Geo-Energetics, Geopolitics (Георесурсы, геоэнергетика, геополитика). http://oilgasjournal.ru/vol_2/articles/7.html, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Chojnicki BH, Michalak M, Acosta M, Juszczak R, Augustin J, Droessler M, Olejnik J (2010) Measurements of carbon dioxide fluxes by chamber method at Rzecin wetland ecosystem in Poland. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies 19, 283-291.
- Chuprova IL (2006) Optimization of technogene landscapes in the High North (Оптимизация техногенных ландшафтов крайнего севера, Норильский промышленный район, п-ов Таймыр) Diss. Petrozavodsk 2006, <http://earthpapers.net/optimizatsiya-tehnogennyh-landshaftov-kraynego-severa>, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Dokuchaev VV (1951) Izbranniye sochineniya (Selected essays) Volume VI. Gosudarstvennoe izdatel'stvo Sel'skokhozyaistvennoi Literatury Moscow, 515 p. (Orig. pub. 1898-1899)
- Dröslér M, Freibauer A, Christensen T., Friborg T (2008) Observation and status of peatland greenhouse gas emission in Europe. In: Dolman H, Valentini R, Freibauer A (eds) The Continental-Scale Greenhouse Gas Balance of Europe. Ecological Studies, 203, 237-255
- Dyukarev EA, Lapshina ED, Pologova NN (2011) Sphagnum mosses growth dynamics and its response to variations in climatic characteristics (in Russian) p. 16-17. In: Vompersky SE (Edt) West Siberian Peatlands and Carbon Cycle: Past and Present. Proceedings of the Third International Field Symposium (Khanty-Mansyisk June 27-July 5, 2011), Novosibirsk 2011, 220 p. ISBN 978-5-9611-066-2
- Gavrilyuk FY (1974) Bonitirovka pochv. Moskva.: Vysshaya shkola, 1974, 270 p.
- Gutman G, Groisman PYa, Gordov EP, Shiklomanov AI, Shiklomanov NI, Shvidenko AZ, Bergen KM, Baklanov AA (2013) Chapter 9 Summary and Outstanding Scientific Challenges for Research of Environmental Changes in Siberia. In: Groisman PJ, Gutman G (Edts) Regional Environmental Changes in Siberia and Their Global Consequences, Springer Environmental Science and Engineering 2013, ISBN: 978-94-007-4568-1 (Print) 978-94-007-4569-8 (Online), http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-007-4569-8_9, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Inisheva LI, Berezina NA (2013) Origin and development of the peat formation process in the West Siberian lowland. (Возникновение И Развитие Процесса Заболачивания На Западно-Сибирской Равнине). Scientific notes of the Tomsk State University 2013. No 366, p. 172-179, http://ltorf.tspu.ru/files/Inisheva_Berezina.pdf, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Inisheva LI, Zemtsov AA, Novikov SM (2011) Vasyugan Mire. Natural Conditions, Structure and Functioning. Tomsk State Pedagogical University Press, 2011. – 162 p. http://ltorf.tspu.ru/files/Vasyugan_Mire-Tekst.pdf, accessed on April 28, 2014

- Juszczak R, Humphreys E, Acosta M, Michalak-Galczevska M, Kayzer D, Olejnik J (2013) Ecosystem respiration in a heterogeneous temperate peatland and its sensitivity to peat temperature and water table depth. *Plant and Soil*, 366(1-2):505-520
- Kashapov NG, Lukicheva TA, Kuchma VF (2008). Hygienic evaluation of the influence of environmental factors on adolescents' health in a gas-and-oil producing region. *Gig Sanit.* 2008 Jul-Aug. (4):15-8. Article in Russian, English abstract at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19102014>, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Kremenetski KV, Velichko AA, Borisova OK, MacDonald GM, Smith LC, Frey KE, Orlova LA (2003) Peatlands of the West Siberian lowlands: current knowledge on zonation, carbon content and Late Quaternary history. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22 (2003) 703–723
- Krupkin PI, Toptygin VV (1999) Refinement of approaches of soil bonitation, example of the Krasnoyarsk Krai (Совершенствование способов бонитировки почв на примере Красноярского края) // *Soil Science (Почвоведение)*. Moscow 1990. – Number 12. pp. 1481-1491
- Lapshina ED, Bleuten W (2011) Biospheric importance of peat bogs of West Siberia: Topicality and lines of investigation. pp. 36-37. In: Vomperksy SE (Edt) *West Siberian Peatlands and Carbon Cycle: Past and Present. Proceedings of the Third International Field Symposium (Khanty-Mansyisk June 27-July 5, 2011)*, Novosibirsk 2011, 220 p. ISBN 978-5-9611-066-2
- Larin SI, Guselnikov VL (2011) Age and development tendencies of wetlands in the south of West Siberia. p. 40-41, In: Vomperksy SE (Edt) *West Siberian Peatlands and Carbon Cycle: Past and Present. Proceedings of the Third International Field Symposium (Khanty-Mansyisk June 27-July 5, 2011)*, Novosibirsk 2011, 220 pp. ISBN 978-5-9611-066-2
- Liss OL, Abramova LI, Avetov NA, Berezina NA, Inisheva LI, Kurnishkova TV, Sluka A, Tolpysheva TYu, Shvedzhikova HK (2001) Peatland systems of West Siberia and their nature stabilizing importance (Болотные Системы Западной Сибири и их Природоохранное Значение). Moscow 2001 584 p. http://vasyugan.sokik.ru/files/vasyugan/publikacii/Bolota_Zapadnoi_Sibiri.pdf, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Mueller L, Drösler M, Höper H, Zinecker F, Behrendt A, Sommer M (2008) Properties of peatsoils and their spatial variability at some representative sites in Germany. In: *After wise use - the future of peatlands: Proceedings of the 13th International Peat Congress ; Tullamore, Ireland 8-13 June 2008*, International Peat Society, Jyväskylä, Vol. 2, 214-217
- Mueller L, Schindler U, Mirschel W, Shepherd TG, Ball BC, Helming K, Rogasik J, Eulenstein F, Wiggering H (2011) Assessing the productivity function of soils. In: *Sustainable Agriculture Volume 2*. Springer Science+Business Media B.V, Dordrecht, pp. 743-760.
- Mueller L, Suleimenov M, Karimov A, Qadir M, Saparov A, Balgabayev N, Helming K, Lischeid G. (2014) Land and water resources of Central Asia, their utilisation and ecological status. In: Mueller L, Saparov A, Lischeid G. (eds), *Novel measurement and assessment tools for monitoring and management of land and water resources in agricultural landscapes of Central Asia*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 3-59. http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-319-01017-5_1, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Nechaeva EG, Belozertseva IA, Naprasnikova EV, Vorobyeva IB, Davydova ND, Dubynina SS, Vlasova NV (2010) Monitoring and forecasting of the substance-dynamical state of geosystems in the Siberian regions (Мониторинг и прогнозирование вещественно-динамического состояния геосистем сибирских регионов). Novosibirsk, Nauka, 360 p. ISBN 978-5-02-023315-7, http://irigs.irk.ru/docs/Nechaeva/Nechaeva_et_al_2010.pdf, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Neistadt MI (1971) About the lower boundary of the Holocene (О нижней границе голоцена). *Paleontology of Holocene (Палинология голоцена)*. Moscow : AN СССР, 1971. p. 7–17.
- Schepaschenko D, Shvidenko A, Schepaschenko M (2012) Carbon balance of Russian agricultural land. Presentation on the EGU meeting 2012. http://neespi.org/web-content/meetings/EGU_2012/Schepaschenko.pdf, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Smolentseva EN, Smolentsev B, Pachikin K, Mueller L (2014) Assessing the Soil Quality and Crop Yield Potentials of Some Soils of Eurasia. In: Mueller L, Saparov A, Lischeid G (Eds), *Novel Measurement and Assessment Tools for Monitoring and Management of Land and Water Resources in Agricultural Landscapes of Central Asia*. Springer International Publishing, pp. 505-517, http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-319-01017-5_31, accessed on April 28, 2014

- Suleimenov M, Kaskarbayev Z, Akshalov K, Yushchenko N (2014) Conservation Agriculture for Long-Term Soil Productivity. In: Mueller L, Saparov A, Lischeid G (eds). Novel Measurement and Assessment Tools for Monitoring and Management of Land and Water Resources in Agricultural Landscapes of Central Asia. Springer International Publishing, pp. 441-454, http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-01017-5_26, accessed on April 28, 2014
- Vostokova LB, Yakushevskaya IV (1979) Soil bonitation (Bonitirovka povc) Publising House of the Moscow State University 1979, 1st edt. 102 p.
- Zhulanova VN (2013) Agro-ecological assessment of soils in Tuva (Агроэкологическая Оценка Почв Тувы). Doctoral dissertation Moscow 2013, <http://www.timacad.ru/catalog/disser/referat/JulanovaVN.doc>. accessed on April 28, 2014.